



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,517	09/11/2003	Mamoud Sadre		3614
7590 03/25/2011				
Mamoud Sadre Unit # 203 165 Tremont Street Boston, MA 02111			EXAMINER ROBERTSON, DAVID	
			ART UNIT 2121	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/25/2011	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/659,517 Applicant(s) SADRE, MAMOUD
Examiner Dave Robertson		Art Unit 2121

All Participants:

(1) Dave Robertson.

(2) Dr. Mamoud Sadre (pro se, inventor/applicant).

Date of Interview: 23 March 2011

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:10pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
112(2nd) on 112(6th) issues

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
none, specifically

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Dave Robertson/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner alerted Applicant to forthcoming office action rejecting claims over 112(2nd) but including additional prior art for review and response, and also indicated potential for allowable subject matter if properly claimed. Applicant expressed understanding that current claims language invokes patentability considerations not fully understood, and would appreciate guidance on working toward claim language reciting claims to potentially allowable subject matter. Examiner provides herewith (attached) proposed claim language capturing potentially allowable subject matter recited appropriately for 112 and 101 considerations. Examiner reiterates from the office action encouraging Applicant to call upon receipt and review before further response as a means to advance prosecution toward allowance.